

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

MONTVALE SURGICAL CENTER a/s/o
REBECCA ROSARIO,

Plaintiffs,

v.

HORIZON BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD OF
NJ; ABC CORP. (1-10)(Said names being
fictitious and unknown entities)

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 12-2908-CCC-JAD

ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendant Horizon Blue Cross Blue Shield of New Jersey (improperly pleaded as Horizon Blue Cross Blue Shield of NJ) ("Horizon") hereby answers the Complaint filed by Plaintiff Montvale Surgical Center a/s/o Rebecca Rosario and files the following Affirmative Defenses.

THE PARTIES

1. Horizon admits only that Plaintiff is an out-of-network provider and does not have a contract with Horizon. Horizon is without sufficient knowledge to confirm or deny the remaining allegations contained within paragraph 1 of the Complaint and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.

2. Horizon is without sufficient knowledge to confirm or deny the allegations contained within paragraph 2 of the Complaint and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.

3. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 3 of the Complaint except to admit only that it is a not-for-profit health service corporation established

under the Health Service Corporations Act, N.J.S.A. 17:47E-1 to -48, and is authorized to do business in the State of New Jersey with its principal place of business located at Three Penn Plaza, Newark, New Jersey. Horizon provides health care benefits and insurance and administrative services under the terms of its benefit plans or policies of insurance which provide in-network benefits and in some instances, out-of-network benefits.

4. Horizon admits only that it conducts business throughout the State of New Jersey, including Bergen County. The remaining allegations contained within paragraph 4 of the Complaint are legal averments to which no response is required. To the extent that an answer is deemed necessary, Horizon denies the remaining allegations contained within paragraph 4 of the Complaint.

5. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 5 of the Complaint. By way of further answer, any assignment of benefits as against Horizon is void and unenforceable as a matter of public policy. See Somerset Orthopedic Associates, P.A. v. Horizon Blue Cross Blue Shield of New Jersey, 345 N.J. Super. 410, 413-415 (App. Div. 2001).

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

6. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 6 of the Complaint except to admit only that it provides health care benefits and insurance and administrative services under the terms of its benefit plans or policies of insurance which provide in-network benefits and in some instances, provide out-of-network benefits.

7. Horizon denies that the services allegedly rendered were “medically necessary” and were approved by Horizon. Horizon is without sufficient knowledge to confirm or deny the remaining allegations within paragraph 7 of the Complaint and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.

8. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 8 of the Complaint.

9. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 9 of the Complaint.

10. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 10 of the Complaint except to admit only that the claims at issue were properly processed and paid in accordance with the terms, conditions, exclusions and limitations of the applicable health benefits plan.

11. The averments contained in paragraph 11 of the Complaint are nothing more than legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is deemed necessary, Horizon denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 11 of the Complaint.

12. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 12 of the Complaint.

13. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 13 of the Complaint except to admit only that the claims at issue were properly processed and paid in accordance with the terms, conditions, exclusions and limitations of the applicable health benefits plan.

14. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 14 of the Complaint except to admit only that the claims at issue were properly processed and paid in accordance with the terms, conditions, exclusions and limitations of the applicable health benefits plan.

15. The averments contained in paragraph 15 of the Complaint are nothing more than legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is deemed

necessary, Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 15 of the Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Horizon demands judgment in its favor and against Plaintiff dismissing the Complaint with prejudice and for an award of attorney's fees and costs.

FIRST COUNT
(Breach of Contract - Horizon)

16. Horizon repeats and incorporates by reference its answers to the proceeding allegations of the Complaint.

17. Horizon admits only that it conducts business throughout the State of New Jersey, including Bergen County. The remaining allegations contained within paragraph 17 of the Complaint are legal averments to which no response is required. To the extent that an answer is deemed necessary, Horizon denies the remaining allegations contained within paragraph 17 of the Complaint.

18. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 18 of the Complaint except to admit only that Rebecca Rosario receives health benefits pursuant to the terms, conditions, exclusions and limitations of the applicable health benefits plan sponsored by Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation and administered by Horizon.

19. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 19 of the Complaint.

20. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 20 of the Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Horizon demands judgment in its favor and against Plaintiff dismissing the Complaint with prejudice and for an award of attorney's fees and costs.

SECOND COUNT
(Promissory Estoppel)

21. Horizon repeats and incorporates by reference its answers to the proceeding allegations of the Complaint.

22. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 22 of the Complaint.

23. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 23 of the Complaint.

24. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 24 of the Complaint.

25. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 25 of the Complaint.

26. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 26 of the Complaint.

27. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 27 of the Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Horizon demands judgment in its favor and against Plaintiff dismissing the Complaint with prejudice and for an award of attorney's fees and costs.

THIRD COUNT
(Negligent Misrepresentation)

28. Horizon repeats and incorporates by reference its answers to the proceeding allegations of the Complaint.

29. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 29 of the Complaint.

30. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 30 of the Complaint.

31. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 31 of the Complaint.

32. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 32 of the Complaint.

33. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 33 of the Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Horizon demands judgment in its favor and against Plaintiff dismissing the Complaint with prejudice and for an award of attorney's fees and costs.

FOURTH COUNT
(Unjust Enrichment)

34. Horizon repeats and incorporates by reference its answers to the proceeding allegations of the Complaint.

35. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 35 of the Complaint.

36. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 36 of the Complaint.

37. Horizon denies each and every allegation contained within paragraph 37 of the Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Horizon demands judgment in its favor and against Plaintiff dismissing the Complaint with prejudice and for an award of attorney's fees and costs.

FIFTH COUNT

38. Horizon repeats and incorporates by reference its answers to the proceeding allegations of the Complaint.

39. The allegations contained in paragraph 39 of the Complaint are not directed towards Horizon and therefore no response is required. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Horizon is without sufficient knowledge to confirm or deny the allegations within paragraph 39 of the Complaint and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.

WHEREFORE, Horizon demands judgment in its favor and against Plaintiff dismissing the Complaint with prejudice and for an award of attorney's fees and costs.

CONNELL FOLEY LLP
Liberty View
457 Haddonfield Rd., Ste. 230
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002
Attorneys for Defendant
Horizon Blue Cross Blue Shield
of New Jersey

BY: 
Matthew A. Baker, Esquire

DATE: May 30, 2012

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

1. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
2. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the express terms of the applicable health benefit plan.
3. Plaintiff's claim seeks benefits that are not eligible for coverage according to the terms of the applicable health benefit plan.
4. Plaintiff's claims are barred because Plaintiff lacks any contract with Horizon.
5. Horizon did not violate any legal or contractual duty owed to Plaintiff.
6. Horizon acted reasonably and in good faith with Plaintiff at all times.
7. The Complaint is governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. §1001, et seq. and the Plaintiffs are limited to remedies thereunder as against Horizon which are limited to payment of benefits that were allegedly denied.
8. Plaintiff's claims are expressly and completely preempted in whole or in part by Sections 502(a) and 514(a) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §§1132(a) and 1144(a).
9. Plaintiff's claims are barred by reasons of the Doctrines of Waiver, Laches, Unclean Hands and/or Estoppel.
10. The complaint may be barred by the applicable Statute of Limitations.
11. Plaintiff's claims are barred because Plaintiff lacks standing to pursue this action.
12. Plaintiff has failed to exhaust all appeal rights under the applicable health benefit plan. Thus, the Complaint is premature and must be dismissed.
13. Plaintiff's claims are barred because Horizon fulfilled all its obligations under the health benefits plan and/or policies of insurance.

14. Horizon reserves the right to amend its answer to assert additional defenses and/or supplement, alter or change its answers upon completion of the appropriate investigation and discovery.

WHEREFORE, Horizon demands judgment in its favor and against Plaintiff dismissing the Complaint with prejudice and for an award of attorney's fees and costs.

CONNELL FOLEY LLP
Liberty View
457 Haddonfield Rd., Ste. 230
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002
Attorneys for Defendant
Horizon Blue Cross Blue Shield
of New Jersey

BY: 
Matthew A. Baker, Esquire

DATE: May 30, 2012

DESIGNATION OF TRIAL COUNSEL

Please be advised that Matthew A. Baker, Esquire is designated for trial counsel for Defendant Horizon Blue Cross Blue Shield of New Jersey.

CONNELL FOLEY LLP
Liberty View
457 Haddonfield Rd., Ste. 230
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002
*Attorneys for Defendant
Horizon Blue Cross Blue Shield
of New Jersey*


BY: 
Matthew A. Baker, Esquire

DATE: May 30, 2012

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Randi P. Vuich, of full age, hereby certify that the within Answer and Affirmative Defenses has been electronically filed with the Clerk within the time and in the manner prescribed by the Rules of Civil Procedure and that a copy of the within pleading has been served this date, via email and first-class mail, postage prepaid, upon:

Massood & Bronsnick, LLC
50 Packanack Lake Rd. East
Wayne, NJ 07470
Attorneys for Plaintiff



Randi P. Vuich

DATE: May 30, 2012